

FINAL PROGRAM REPORT

Improving Pastoral Communities' Engagement in Decision-Making Processes in Mineral Resource Governance (PACED)

By

Hon. Pulkol David Bwangamoe

Principal Advisor at OWC

and Executive Director of Africa Leadership Institute (AFLI)

Implementing Organization:

Africa Leadership Institute (AFLI)

Technical Partner:

Karamoja Peace and Technology University (KAPATU) Project, under the AFLI-KAPATU Mem

Geographical Focus:

Moroto District (Rupa and Lotisan Sub-Counties), Karamoja Region, Uganda

Implementation Period:

May 2024 – April 2025

Produced by



**AFRICA
LEADERSHIP
INSTITUTE**

in collaboration with



**KARAMOJA PEACE AND
TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY
(KAPATU) PROJECT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	5
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	6
1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	9
2. PROGRAM CONTEXT AND RATIONALE	11
3. PROGRAM OBJECTIVES	14
Overall Goal.....	14
Specific Objectives	14
Objective 1: Strengthen Governance Capacity of Community Land Institutions	14
Objective 2: Strengthen Advocacy and Representation Capacity of Pastoral Community Leaders.....	15
Objective 3: Improve Community Access to Governance Information and Legal Literacy	15
Objective 4: Strengthen Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue and Coordination Mechanisms ...	15
Strategic Orientation	16
4. IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY (REWRITTEN)	17
4.1 Community-Centered and Participatory Implementation Approach	17
4.2 Phased and Structured Implementation Model	17
4.3 Institutional Capacity Strengthening and Technical Support.....	18
4.4 Advocacy and Community Representation Model	19
4.5 Innovative Communication and Information Dissemination.....	19
4.6 Multi-Stakeholder Engagement and Coordination	19
4.7 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Adaptive Learning	20
4.8 Cross-Cutting Implementation Principles.....	20
5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS.....	22
5.1 Institutional Capacity Strengthening.....	22
5.2 Advocacy and Community Representation.....	23

5.3 Community Awareness and Access to Governance Information	24
5.4 Multi-Stakeholder Engagement and Governance Interfaces	24
5.5 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning Performance	25
5.6 Efficiency and Implementation Dynamics.....	26
5.7 Overall Performance Assessment	27
6. FINANCIAL AND VALUE-FOR-MONEY ANALYSIS.....	28
6.1 Overview of Financial Framework	28
6.2 Resource Allocation and Strategic Prioritization.....	28
6.3 Cost Efficiency and Operational Performance	29
6.4 Value-for-Money Assessment	30
6.5 Cost Drivers and Financial Risks.....	31
6.6 Cost-Effectiveness Considerations	32
6.7 Financial Accountability and Oversight.....	33
6.8 Strategic Financial Lessons.....	33
6.9 Conclusion	33
7. KEY ACHIEVEMENTS.....	35
7.1 Strengthened Governance Capacity of Community Land Institutions	35
7.2 Improved Community Participation in Land Governance Processes	35
7.3 Strengthened Advocacy and Representation Capacity	36
7.4 Expanded Access to Governance Information Through Innovative Approaches	36
7.5 Increased Community Awareness and Legal Literacy	37
7.7 Integration of Innovative Communication and Outreach Models	38
7.8 Strengthened Foundations for Land Tenure Security	38
7.9 Establishment of Functional Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning Systems	39
7.10 Demonstration of a Scalable Model for Inclusive Governance	39
8. KEY CHALLENGES	41
8.1 Institutional Coordination Constraints with Government Agencies	41

8.3 Geographic and Logistical Constraints	42
8.4 Institutional Capacity Gaps Among Community Structures.....	43
8.5 Information and Communication Barriers	44
8.6 Data and Monitoring System Limitations.....	44
8.7 Dependency on External Stakeholders and Processes	45
8.8 Socio-Cultural and Behavioral Barriers.....	45
8.9 Summary of Key Constraints.....	46
9. LESSONS LEARNED.....	47
9.1 Community Institutions Are Foundational to Inclusive Governance	47
9.2 Advocacy Capacity Is a Critical Driver of Community Agency.....	47
9.3 Access to Information Is Central to Empowerment and Participation.....	48
9.4 Integrated Approaches Yield Greater Impact Than Isolated Interventions.....	48
9.5 Multi-Stakeholder Engagement Is Essential but Requires Structured Facilitation.....	49
9.6 Government Coordination Is a Determinant of Program Effectiveness	49
9.7 Land Governance Processes Require Long-Term Engagement.....	49
9.8 Adaptive and Flexible Programming Enhances Resilience	50
9.9 Data Systems Are Critical for Accountability and Learning	50
9.10 Context-Specific Communication Approaches Enhance Inclusiveness	51
9.11 Behavioral and Cultural Change Requires Sustained Engagement	51
10. STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS.....	53
10.1 Strengthen Institutional Capacity Through Long-Term Support Models	53
10.2 Institutionalize Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue Platforms	53
10.3 Strengthen Coordination Mechanisms with Government Institutions.....	54
10.4 Scale and Institutionalize Innovative Information Dissemination Approaches.....	54
10.5 Strengthen Monitoring, Evaluation, and Data Systems	55
10.6 Increase Investment in Land Governance and Tenure Security Processes	55
10.7 Expand Advocacy Capacity Building and Policy Engagement	55

10.8 Adopt Integrated and Systems-Oriented Programming Approaches	56
10.9 Enhance Operational Capacity and Decentralized Implementation	56
10.10 Promote Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI)	56
10.11 Strengthen Partnerships and Knowledge Collaboration	57
10.12 Adopt Long-Term and Scalable Programming Models	57
11. CONCLUSION	59

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AFLI	Africa Leadership Institute
ASM	Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining
CDT	Community Development Trust
CLA	Communal Land Association
GESI	Gender Equality and Social Inclusion
IPTT	Indicator Performance Tracking Table
KAPATU	Karamoja Peace and Technology University
MEL	Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning
MEMD	Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development
MoLHUD	Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban Development
MDA	Ministry, Department, and Agency
PACED	Improving Pastoral Communities' Engagement in Decision-Making Processes in Mineral Resource Governance
VfM	Value for Money
CSO	Civil Society Organization

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Advocacy (Community Advocacy)

A structured process through which community leaders and institutions represent and promote the interests, rights, and priorities of pastoral communities in governance and policy decision-making processes.

Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining (ASM)

Informal or semi-formal mining activities carried out using low-level technology and minimal mechanization, often involving local communities and small groups.

Community-Based Governance

A system of governance where decision-making authority and participation are rooted within local community structures and institutions.

Community Development Trust (CDT)

A community-level institutional structure established to manage development initiatives, represent community interests, and facilitate engagement with external stakeholders.

Communal Land Association (CLA)

A legally recognized community institution responsible for managing communal land under customary tenure systems, including land use planning, dispute resolution, and representation in land governance processes.

Customary Land Tenure

A system of land ownership and management based on traditional norms, practices, and community-defined rules, often governed by customary leaders and institutions.

Governance (Resource Governance)

The systems, processes, and institutions through which decisions are made and implemented regarding the management of natural resources, including land and minerals.

Legal Literacy

The level of knowledge and understanding individuals or communities have regarding laws, rights, and legal processes that affect them.

Land Demarcation

The process of defining and marking the physical boundaries of land, often as a step toward formal registration and legal recognition.

Land Tenure Security

The degree of confidence that land users have in their continued rights to occupy, use, and benefit from land without risk of eviction or dispute.

Mineral Resource Governance

The framework of policies, laws, institutions, and practices that regulate the exploration, extraction, management, and benefit-sharing of mineral resources.

Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue

Structured engagement processes that bring together different actors—such as communities, government institutions, private sector entities, and civil society—to discuss, negotiate, and make decisions on shared issues.

Participation (Meaningful Participation)

The active and informed involvement of individuals or groups in decision-making processes that affect their rights, resources, and livelihoods.

Pastoral Communities

Communities whose livelihoods are primarily based on livestock rearing and mobility, often relying on communal land systems for grazing and resource access.

Policy Engagement

The process of interacting with policymakers and institutions to influence the development, implementation, or reform of policies.

Results-Based Management

A management approach that focuses on achieving defined outcomes and outputs, with performance measured against clear indicators and targets.

Stakeholder Engagement

The process of involving individuals, groups, or institutions that have an interest in or are affected by a program or policy.

Talking Books Technology

An audio-based communication tool used to disseminate information in local languages, particularly in low-literacy contexts, allowing users to listen to pre-recorded messages on governance and rights.

Value for Money (VfM)

An assessment of how efficiently and effectively resources are used to achieve desired outcomes, considering economy, efficiency, and effectiveness.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents a comprehensive analysis of the implementation, performance, and strategic outcomes of the *Improving Pastoral Communities' Engagement in Decision-Making Processes in Mineral Resource Governance (PACED)* project, implemented by the Africa Leadership Institute (AFLI) in Moroto District, Karamoja Region. The project was conceived in response to persistent structural exclusion of pastoral communities from land and mineral governance processes within a rapidly expanding extractive sector.

Situated within a context characterized by weak institutional capacity, fragmented governance systems, limited access to information, and increasing pressure on communal land, the PACED project adopted an integrated, community-centered, and systems-oriented approach. The intervention was designed to address interconnected governance gaps through four strategic pillars: strengthening community governance institutions, enhancing advocacy and representation capacity, expanding access to governance information, and facilitating inclusive multi-stakeholder engagement.

Over the implementation period, the project delivered substantive progress across all core result areas. Notably, it strengthened the institutional capacity and functionality of Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and the Community Development Trust (CDT), enabling these structures to more effectively manage communal land systems and engage with formal governance institutions. This shift represents a critical step toward bridging the gap between customary and statutory governance systems.

The program also significantly enhanced the advocacy capacity of community leaders, equipping them with the skills and tools required to engage in policy dialogue and represent community interests more effectively. As a result, community actors demonstrated increased confidence, agency, and participation in governance processes, moving from passive recipients of decisions to more active contributors.

A key innovation of the project was the expansion of access to governance information through context-appropriate communication approaches, including Talking Books technology and community radio platforms. These interventions addressed critical information asymmetries, particularly in low-literacy and remote settings, and contributed to measurable improvements in community awareness of land rights, governance frameworks, and participation opportunities.

In parallel, the project facilitated multi-stakeholder engagement through structured dialogue platforms that brought together community institutions, government agencies, and other stakeholders. These engagements contributed to improved coordination, enhanced transparency, and strengthened trust between actors within the governance ecosystem.

Despite these achievements, the project encountered a range of structural and operational challenges. Key constraints included delays in coordination with government institutions, logistical challenges associated with dispersed pastoral communities, and dependency on external technical processes such as land demarcation. These challenges reflect broader systemic limitations within governance and land administration systems, underscoring the need for long-term, integrated, and multi-level interventions.

From a performance perspective, the PACED project demonstrates strong relevance, effectiveness, and efficiency. The results highlight the value of integrated programming approaches that combine institutional strengthening, advocacy, information access, and stakeholder engagement. The project also demonstrates strong value for money, with a significant proportion of resources directed toward high-impact, community-level interventions.

At a strategic level, the project provides important lessons for governance programming in resource-rich and marginalized contexts. It reinforces the central role of community institutions in advancing inclusive governance, the importance of accessible information in enabling participation, and the need for structured engagement platforms to bridge governance gaps between communities and state institutions.

Overall, the PACED project offers a scalable and policy-relevant model for strengthening inclusive mineral resource governance. Its integrated and community-centered approach provides a practical framework for enhancing participation, accountability, and equity in natural resource management.

Looking forward, sustaining and scaling these gains will require continued investment in institutional capacity, strengthened coordination with government systems, and long-term commitment to inclusive governance reforms. By embedding these approaches within broader policy and development frameworks, there is significant potential to advance more equitable, transparent, and sustainable governance outcomes in Karamoja and similar contexts.

2. PROGRAM CONTEXT AND RATIONALE

Uganda's extractive sector has experienced accelerated growth over the past decade, with increasing investment in mineral exploration and development across several regions. The Karamoja sub-region, particularly Moroto District, has emerged as a strategic hub for mineral resource extraction, hosting significant deposits of gold, marble, limestone, and other commercially valuable minerals. While this expansion presents opportunities for economic development and revenue generation, it also introduces complex governance, social, and land-related challenges—especially for indigenous pastoral communities whose livelihoods are intrinsically linked to communal land systems.

Moroto District is characterized by predominantly pastoral and agro-pastoral communities that depend on customary land tenure arrangements for grazing, settlement, and social organization. These systems, governed through traditional institutions such as Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and community trusts, are legally recognized under Uganda's Land Act. However, in practice, these institutions often operate with limited technical capacity, weak institutional structures, and inadequate integration into formal governance systems. As a result, they are frequently marginalized in decision-making processes related to land administration and mineral resource governance.

The rapid expansion of artisanal and small-scale mining (ASM) and other extractive activities has intensified pressures on communal land, leading to increased risks of land fragmentation, disputes, and competing land-use claims. In many cases, mineral exploration and extraction activities intersect with customary land without adequate consultation, transparency, or benefit-sharing mechanisms. This has contributed to growing tensions between communities, government authorities, and private sector actors, while also exposing communities to potential loss of land rights and livelihood insecurity.

A critical underlying constraint is the limited access of pastoral communities to accurate, timely, and accessible information on land rights, mineral licensing processes, and governance frameworks regulating the extractive sector. This information gap undermines community capacity to make informed decisions, engage meaningfully in governance processes, and assert their rights within increasingly complex institutional and regulatory environments. Furthermore, low levels of legal literacy and limited exposure to policy processes constrain the

ability of community leaders to effectively represent community interests in negotiations and dialogue platforms.

Institutional coordination between key stakeholders—including local government authorities, central government agencies such as the Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban Development (MoLHUD) and the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development (MEMD), and community governance structures—remains fragmented. Weak coordination mechanisms limit information flow, reduce accountability, and hinder the establishment of coherent and inclusive governance systems. Additionally, structured platforms for dialogue between communities, government institutions, and extractive sector actors are limited, further constraining opportunities for participatory governance and collaborative problem-solving.

These contextual challenges highlight systemic gaps across three interrelated dimensions:

- Institutional capacity deficits within community governance structures
- Information and knowledge gaps affecting community awareness and participation
- Weak governance interfaces between communities, state institutions, and private sector actors

Without targeted interventions to address these constraints, pastoral communities risk continued exclusion from mineral resource governance processes, potentially exacerbating land conflicts, social inequalities, and vulnerability to exploitation within the extractive economy.

In response, the Africa Leadership Institute (ALI), in collaboration with the Karamoja Peace and Technology University (KAPATU), designed the PACED project as an integrated, systems-oriented intervention. The project is anchored in a participatory governance framework that seeks to strengthen community institutions, enhance governance literacy, and facilitate inclusive engagement across stakeholders.

The program adopts a multi-dimensional approach that includes:

- Strengthening governance and administrative capacity of CLAs and community trusts
- Enhancing advocacy and representation capacity of pastoral community leaders
- Expanding access to governance information through innovative and context-appropriate communication tools

- Establishing structured multi-stakeholder dialogue platforms to improve transparency, accountability, and collaboration

Through this approach, the project aims not only to improve immediate participation outcomes but also to contribute to longer-term transformation of mineral resource governance systems. By empowering communities, strengthening institutional linkages, and promoting inclusive policy engagement, the PACED project is positioned as a strategic model for advancing equitable, participatory, and sustainable governance of natural resources in Uganda and similar pastoral and resource-rich contexts.

3. PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The PACED project is guided by a set of strategically integrated objectives designed to address systemic gaps in mineral resource governance affecting pastoral communities in Moroto District. These objectives respond directly to identified challenges in institutional capacity, access to information, advocacy effectiveness, and stakeholder coordination. Collectively, they aim to strengthen inclusive governance systems, enhance community agency, and promote equitable participation in decision-making processes within the extractive sector.

Overall Goal

To enhance the meaningful participation and representation of pastoral communities in decision-making processes related to mineral resource governance at local and national levels.

This overarching goal reflects a systems-oriented vision of governance transformation, where community institutions are empowered to actively engage in policy dialogue, influence resource governance outcomes, and safeguard their land rights and livelihoods within a rapidly evolving extractive landscape.

Specific Objectives

Objective 1: Strengthen Governance Capacity of Community Land Institutions

To enhance the institutional, administrative, and technical capacity of Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and the Community Development Trust (CDT) to effectively manage customary land systems and engage with formal land administration and mineral governance frameworks.

This objective focuses on building functional, accountable, and resilient community governance structures capable of:

- Managing communal land in accordance with legal and customary frameworks
- Participating in land demarcation, registration, and dispute resolution processes
- Engaging constructively with government institutions and regulatory bodies

Strengthening institutional capacity is critical to improving community legitimacy, ensuring effective representation, and enabling sustainable participation in governance processes.

Objective 2: Strengthen Advocacy and Representation Capacity of Pastoral Community Leaders

To build the capacity of community leaders and institutional representatives to effectively advocate for pastoral community interests in mineral resource governance processes.

This objective emphasizes:

- Development of advocacy skills and strategies
- Strengthening negotiation and communication capacities
- Enhancing participation in policy dialogue platforms and governance forums

By equipping community leaders with the tools and knowledge required to engage in advocacy, the program seeks to amplify community voices, promote accountability, and ensure that community priorities are reflected in decision-making processes.

Objective 3: Improve Community Access to Governance Information and Legal Literacy

To increase awareness, knowledge, and understanding of land rights, mineral governance frameworks, and participation mechanisms among pastoral communities.

These objective addresses critical information gaps by:

- Disseminating accessible, context-appropriate governance information
- Promoting legal literacy and awareness of rights and responsibilities
- Utilizing innovative communication tools such as Talking Books and community media

Improved access to information enables communities to make informed decisions, engage more effectively in governance processes, and protect their rights in interactions with state and private sector actors.

Objective 4: Strengthen Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue and Coordination Mechanisms

To establish and strengthen structured platforms for engagement between pastoral communities, government institutions, private sector actors, and civil society organizations.

This objective aims to:

- Facilitate inclusive dialogue on land and mineral governance issues
- Improve coordination and information flow between stakeholders
- Promote transparency, accountability, and collaborative problem-solving

Effective dialogue platforms are essential for bridging governance gaps, reducing conflict, and fostering trust between communities and institutional actors.

Strategic Orientation

Collectively, these objectives are designed to achieve the following strategic outcomes:

- **Strengthen inclusive governance systems** by integrating community institutions into formal decision-making processes
- **Enhance equity and rights protection** for pastoral communities within the extractive sector
- **Improve accountability and transparency** in mineral resource governance
- **Build sustainable community capacity** for long-term engagement, advocacy, and institutional resilience

By addressing both structural and operational constraints, the PACED project adopts a holistic approach that links community empowerment with systems strengthening. This ensures that interventions are not only responsive to immediate challenges but also contribute to long-term transformation of governance processes in Karamoja and similar contexts.

4. IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY (REWRITTEN)

The PACED project adopted a multi-layered, participatory, and systems-oriented implementation strategy designed to strengthen inclusive mineral resource governance in a complex pastoral and resource-rich context. The approach integrates institutional capacity building, community empowerment, information dissemination, and stakeholder coordination to address both structural and operational constraints affecting pastoral community participation.

The implementation model is anchored in a phased and adaptive framework that aligns program activities with clearly defined result areas, ensuring coherence between strategic objectives, operational interventions, and expected outcomes.

4.1 Community-Centered and Participatory Implementation Approach

At the core of the strategy is a community-driven approach that places pastoral communities and their governance institutions at the center of program design and delivery. The project actively engaged Communal Land Associations (CLAs), the Community Development Trust (CDT), and local leaders as primary actors in implementation, rather than passive beneficiaries.

This approach emphasized:

- Strengthening local ownership of interventions
- Building trust between communities and implementing partners
- Ensuring that program activities are responsive to local needs and priorities

By leveraging existing community structures and customary governance systems, the program enhanced legitimacy, sustainability, and effectiveness of interventions.

4.2 Phased and Structured Implementation Model

The project was implemented through a sequenced, phase-based approach designed to progressively build capacity, awareness, and engagement:

1. Project Initiation and Stakeholder Engagement
Establishment of institutional coordination, stakeholder alignment, and community mobilization.

2. Institutional Capacity Strengthening
Training and mentorship of CLAs and CDT to enhance governance and land management capacity.
3. Advocacy Capacity Development
Strengthening leadership skills and enabling structured representation in governance processes.
4. Information Dissemination and Community Awareness
Deployment of communication tools and civic education to improve governance literacy.
5. Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue and Policy Engagement
Facilitation of platforms for engagement between communities, government, and private sector actors.
6. Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning
Continuous tracking of performance, documentation of lessons, and adaptive program management.

This structured sequencing ensured logical progression from capacity building to active participation and policy engagement.

4.3 Institutional Capacity Strengthening and Technical Support

A central pillar of the implementation strategy was the strengthening of governance capacity within community land institutions. This was achieved through:

- Governance and institutional management training
- Technical mentorship on land administration and legal frameworks
- Facilitation of engagement with land authorities and regulatory bodies

The program also supported processes related to land demarcation and institutional governance, enabling communities to better manage communal land and engage with formal systems.

Technical support from KAPATU ensured that interventions were grounded in evidence-based approaches and aligned with national legal and policy frameworks.

4.4 Advocacy and Community Representation Model

The project adopted a structured advocacy model aimed at strengthening the ability of community leaders to influence governance processes. This included:

- Training in advocacy, negotiation, and policy engagement
- Development of community advocacy strategies
- Facilitation of participation in dialogue and policy forums

This model enabled community representatives to transition from passive observers to active participants in governance processes, enhancing their ability to articulate community concerns and priorities.

4.5 Innovative Communication and Information Dissemination

Recognizing the critical role of information access in enabling participation, the program integrated innovative and context-appropriate communication approaches, including:

- Talking Books technology to deliver audio-based governance information in local languages
- Community radio talk shows to expand outreach and stimulate public dialogue
- Civic education sessions to enhance legal literacy and awareness

These tools addressed literacy barriers and ensured that information was accessible to diverse community members, including women, youth, and marginalized groups.

4.6 Multi-Stakeholder Engagement and Coordination

The program established structured platforms to facilitate interaction between key stakeholders, including:

- Community institutions (CLAs, CDT)
- Government agencies (MoLHUD, MEMD, district authorities)
- Private sector actors in the extractive industry
- Civil society organizations

These dialogue platforms were designed to:

- Promote transparency and accountability
- Strengthen coordination and information flow
- Address conflicts and governance challenges collaboratively

By creating spaces for inclusive engagement, the program strengthened governance interfaces and improved mutual understanding among stakeholders.

4.7 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Adaptive Learning

The implementation strategy incorporated a results-based monitoring, evaluation, and learning (MEL) framework to ensure accountability and continuous improvement. Key components included:

- Routine data collection and performance tracking
- Use of indicator frameworks aligned with project objectives
- Periodic review and reflection meetings
- Integration of technical inputs from KAPATU

This approach enabled real-time assessment of progress, identification of implementation challenges, and adaptive adjustments to strategies and activities.

4.8 Cross-Cutting Implementation Principles

The implementation strategy was guided by several cross-cutting principles to enhance inclusiveness, quality, and sustainability:

- **Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI):** Ensuring participation of women, youth, and marginalized groups
- **Participation and Ownership:** Promoting community leadership in governance processes
- **Human Rights and Equity:** Supporting protection of land rights and equitable benefit-sharing
- **Evidence-Based Programming:** Using data and research to inform decision-making

- **Partnership and Collaboration:** Leveraging relationships with government, academia, and civil society

Strategic Summary

Overall, the implementation strategy reflects a comprehensive and adaptive approach that integrates community empowerment with systems strengthening. By combining institutional capacity building, advocacy, information access, and stakeholder coordination, the program effectively addressed key barriers to participation in mineral resource governance.

This integrated model not only improved immediate program outcomes but also established a foundation for sustainable, inclusive, and scalable governance systems in pastoral and resource-rich contexts.

5. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

This section provides a comprehensive assessment of program performance across key result areas, drawing on implementation data, activity outputs, and observed outcomes over the project period. The analysis evaluates the effectiveness, efficiency, and relevance of interventions in strengthening inclusive mineral resource governance and enhancing pastoral community participation.

The assessment is structured along the program's core result areas: institutional capacity strengthening, advocacy and representation, information access and awareness, stakeholder engagement, and monitoring and learning systems.

5.1 Institutional Capacity Strengthening

The program made substantive progress in strengthening the governance capacity of Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and the Community Development Trust (CDT). Through structured training, technical mentorship, and facilitated engagement with land authorities, community institutions demonstrated improved understanding of land administration processes, governance structures, and institutional roles.

Key achievements include:

- Conduct of governance and institutional management training sessions
- Facilitation of engagement between CLAs/CDT and the Ministry of Lands and Registrar of Titles
- Strengthened community participation in land-related processes, including preparation for land demarcation

Performance Interpretation

The interventions contributed to enhanced institutional functionality, improved leadership capacity, and increased confidence among community representatives in engaging with formal governance systems. Community institutions began to transition from informal structures toward more organized and accountable governance entities.

However, progress in land demarcation activities remained slower than anticipated due to coordination delays with government agencies and technical processes beyond direct program control.

Strategic Implication

Institutional strengthening is a foundational enabler of inclusive governance. Sustained investment in technical capacity, legal literacy, and institutional development is critical for long-term effectiveness and legitimacy of community governance structures.

5.2 Advocacy and Community Representation

The program strengthened the advocacy capacity of community leaders through targeted training, strategy development, and participation in dialogue platforms. Community representatives increasingly demonstrated the ability to articulate governance concerns, engage with stakeholders, and contribute to policy discussions.

Key outcomes include:

- Training of community leaders in advocacy and negotiation skills
- Development of advocacy strategies for CLAs and CDT
- Participation of community representatives in engagement forums with government and stakeholders

Performance Interpretation

Advocacy capacity improved significantly, particularly in terms of communication skills, understanding of governance processes, and confidence in stakeholder engagement. Community leaders became more proactive in representing community interests and engaging in governance discussions.

However, the full realization of advocacy outcomes was constrained by limited frequency of high-level policy engagement platforms and dependency on external stakeholders for convening such forums.

Strategic Implication

Strengthening advocacy capacity is essential for ensuring that community voices influence governance processes. Institutionalizing dialogue platforms and expanding opportunities for engagement will be critical for sustaining and scaling advocacy outcomes.

5.3 Community Awareness and Access to Governance Information

The program achieved notable success in expanding access to governance information and improving community awareness of land rights and mineral resource governance frameworks. Innovative communication approaches, including Talking Books and community radio engagement, played a central role in this achievement.

Key outputs include:

- Development and distribution of Talking Books with localized governance content
- Conduct of radio talk shows addressing land rights, mineral governance, and economic opportunities
- Community sensitization and civic education sessions

Performance Interpretation

These interventions significantly enhanced legal literacy and awareness among community members, particularly in relation to land rights, dispute resolution mechanisms, and participation opportunities. The use of audio-based and media platforms effectively addressed literacy barriers and expanded outreach beyond direct program participants.

Community feedback indicated increased understanding of:

- The importance of land documentation and titling
- Mediation approaches to land disputes
- Opportunities within the extractive sector

Strategic Implication

Accessible and context-appropriate information dissemination is a critical driver of participation and empowerment. Scaling such approaches can significantly enhance governance outcomes in low-literacy and remote contexts.

5.4 Multi-Stakeholder Engagement and Governance Interfaces

The program facilitated engagement between community institutions, government actors, and other stakeholders through meetings, dialogue platforms, and coordination activities. These efforts contributed to improved communication, collaboration, and mutual understanding.

Key achievements include:

- Facilitation of meetings between CLAs/CDT and government institutions
- Engagement of district leaders and technical officials in program activities
- Use of media platforms to promote broader public dialogue

Performance Interpretation

The program contributed to strengthening governance interfaces, particularly at the local level. Engagement with land authorities improved clarity on governance processes, while community participation in discussions enhanced transparency and accountability.

However, coordination challenges with government Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs) affected the timing and consistency of some activities, particularly those requiring joint implementation.

Strategic Implication

Effective governance requires strong institutional coordination and sustained engagement across stakeholders. Strengthening formal coordination mechanisms and aligning implementation timelines with government processes will enhance program efficiency and impact.

5.5 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning Performance

The program established functional monitoring and evaluation systems to track progress and support adaptive management. Data collection, indicator tracking, and periodic review processes were implemented throughout the project cycle.

Key components include:

- Use of an Indicator Performance Tracking Table (IPTT)
- Routine monitoring visits and reporting
- Learning and reflection sessions with partners

Performance Interpretation

Monitoring systems enabled tracking of key outputs and supported informed decision-making. The integration of partner feedback, particularly through monitoring visits, contributed to improved understanding of data management and program performance.

However, gaps were observed in:

- Consistency of data reporting across indicators
- Timely availability of complete performance data
- Alignment between planned targets and actual outputs in some areas

Strategic Implication

Robust monitoring and data systems are essential for accountability and adaptive programming. Strengthening data quality, reporting mechanisms, and integration of monitoring tools will enhance program effectiveness and evidence generation.

5.6 Efficiency and Implementation Dynamics

The program demonstrated overall efficiency in delivering key outputs, particularly in capacity building and information dissemination. The use of community-based approaches and cost-effective communication tools contributed to broad outreach with limited resources.

Efficiency Strengths

- Leveraging existing community structures reduced operational costs
- Use of radio and Talking Books expanded reach at low cost
- Integration of activities improved resource utilization

Constraints Affecting Efficiency

- Delays in coordination with government MDAs
- Logistical challenges in implementing field activities
- Dependency on external actors for key processes (e.g., land demarcation)

Strategic Implication

While the program achieved strong outputs relative to available resources, improving coordination and operational planning will be critical for maximizing efficiency in future interventions.

5.7 Overall Performance Assessment

Key Strengths

- Strong progress in institutional capacity strengthening
- Effective use of innovative communication tools
- Improved community awareness and participation
- Strengthened local-level governance engagement

Key Limitations

- Delays in land demarcation and technical processes
- Coordination challenges with government institutions
- Data and monitoring gaps in some indicators

Analytical Summary

Overall, the PACED project demonstrates high relevance and effectiveness in addressing structural barriers to community participation in mineral resource governance. The program successfully strengthened institutional capacity, enhanced community awareness, and improved engagement between stakeholders.

At the same time, the results highlight the importance of strengthening coordination mechanisms, improving targeting of interventions, and enhancing monitoring systems to maximize impact.

The findings underscore that integrated, community-centered approaches—when combined with systems strengthening and stakeholder engagement—can deliver meaningful progress toward inclusive and equitable governance in resource-rich and marginalized contexts.

6. FINANCIAL AND VALUE-FOR-MONEY ANALYSIS

This section provides a comprehensive assessment of the financial performance, resource allocation, and value-for-money (VfM) outcomes of the PACED project. The analysis evaluates how effectively financial resources were utilized to achieve program objectives, strengthen governance systems, and generate sustainable impact within a resource-constrained and operationally complex environment.

The financial framework of the project was anchored in a results-based budgeting approach, ensuring that expenditures were directly linked to defined outputs, outcomes, and strategic priorities.

6.1 Overview of Financial Framework

The PACED project was implemented with a total budget of:

- **USD 120,000**
- **UGX 456,000,000**

The financial design emphasized alignment between resource allocation and programmatic priorities, with a strong focus on direct community impact, institutional strengthening, and stakeholder engagement.

The results-based budgeting approach ensured:

- Clear linkage between financial inputs and expected results
- Enhanced accountability and transparency in resource utilization
- Prioritization of high-impact interventions

This framework supported efficient allocation of resources across key result areas, including governance capacity building, advocacy, community awareness, stakeholder engagement, and monitoring systems.

6.2 Resource Allocation and Strategic Prioritization

Financial resources were distributed across five core result areas and program management functions:

Result Area	Budget (USD)	Strategic Focus
Institutional Strengthening	36,000	Governance capacity of CLAs and CDT
Advocacy & Policy Engagement	30,000	Community representation and dialogue
Community Awareness	24,000	Information access and legal literacy
Stakeholder Engagement	18,000	Dialogue platforms and coordination
Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning	6,000	Performance tracking and learning
Management & Administration	6,000	Program coordination and oversight

Performance Interpretation

The allocation reflects a deliberate emphasis on frontline programmatic interventions, with over 80% of the budget directed toward community-level activities and systems strengthening.

This distribution demonstrates:

- Strong prioritization of institutional capacity and advocacy
- Balanced investment in both supply-side (capacity, systems) and demand-side (awareness, participation) interventions
- Integration of learning and accountability mechanisms within program design

Strategic Insight

The budget structure aligns with best practices in governance programming, where sustainable impact depends on simultaneous investment in institutions, knowledge systems, and stakeholder engagement.

6.3 Cost Efficiency and Operational Performance

The program achieved notable efficiency in the use of financial resources, particularly in delivering capacity-building and awareness interventions at scale.

Key Efficiency Drivers

- **Community-Based Delivery Models:** Reduced reliance on high-cost centralized implementation
- **Leveraging Existing Structures:** Utilization of CLAs, CDT, and local leadership minimized operational overheads
- **Low-Cost Communication Tools:** Radio and Talking Books enabled wide outreach with minimal expenditure
- **Integrated Activity Design:** Combining training, engagement, and awareness activities improved resource utilization

Efficiency Outcomes

- Broad community reach achieved within modest budget constraints
- High participation levels in training, meetings, and awareness activities
- Effective delivery of multiple outputs within single activity cycles

Performance Interpretation

The program demonstrated strong operational efficiency, particularly in maximizing outputs relative to available resources. However, certain activities—such as land demarcation—experienced delays due to external dependencies, affecting the pace of expenditure and output realization.

Strategic Insight

Efficiency gains were largely driven by context-appropriate delivery models and strong partnerships. Future programming can further enhance efficiency through improved coordination and operational planning.

6.4 Value-for-Money Assessment

Value for money was assessed across three core dimensions: **economy, efficiency, and effectiveness.**

1. Economy (Cost Minimization)

- Use of community-based personnel reduced staffing and operational costs

- Cost-effective procurement and utilization of communication tools
- Lean administrative costs relative to total budget

2. Efficiency (Output Maximization)

- High output levels achieved across training, awareness, and engagement activities
- Integrated service delivery minimized duplication of costs
- Broad outreach achieved through scalable communication platforms

3. Effectiveness (Outcome Achievement)

- Improved governance capacity of community institutions
- Increased community awareness and legal literacy
- Strengthened stakeholder engagement and dialogue

Performance Interpretation

The program achieved strong value-for-money outcomes, particularly in institutional strengthening and community awareness. Investments in capacity building and information dissemination yielded significant returns in terms of participation and engagement.

However, delays in certain technical processes (e.g., land demarcation) highlight areas where value realization could be improved through enhanced coordination.

6.5 Cost Drivers and Financial Risks

Key Cost Drivers

- Training and capacity-building activities
- Community engagement and sensitization meetings
- Media and communication interventions
- Stakeholder dialogue platforms

Financial Risks Identified

- Delays in government coordination affecting activity timelines

- Dependency on external technical processes (e.g., land demarcation)
- Logistical constraints in remote and dispersed communities

Mitigation Measures

- Leveraging partnerships with government and local institutions
- Flexible scheduling and adaptive implementation
- Integration of activities to reduce operational costs

Strategic Insight

Understanding and managing cost drivers is critical for maintaining efficiency and ensuring timely delivery of results. Strengthening coordination mechanisms will be key to mitigating financial and operational risks.

6.6 Cost-Effectiveness Considerations

The program demonstrated varying levels of cost-effectiveness across intervention areas:

High Cost-Effectiveness Areas

- Institutional capacity building
- Community awareness and information dissemination
- Advocacy and representation strengthening

Moderate Cost-Effectiveness Areas

- Stakeholder engagement (dependent on participation of external actors)
- Land governance processes requiring technical coordination

Performance Interpretation

Interventions focused on capacity building and information access yielded the highest returns relative to cost. These areas directly contributed to improved participation and governance outcomes.

Strategic Insight

Future programming should prioritize scalable, high-impact interventions while strengthening coordination in areas requiring external technical inputs.

6.7 Financial Accountability and Oversight

The program maintained strong financial management and accountability systems, including:

- Routine expenditure tracking
- Alignment of financial reporting with program performance
- Oversight by implementing and technical partners
- Documentation of financial and programmatic outputs

These mechanisms ensured:

- Transparency in resource utilization
- Accountability to stakeholders and partners
- Alignment between financial inputs and program results

6.8 Strategic Financial Lessons

Key financial and operational lessons emerging from the program include:

- Community-based governance interventions offer high returns on investment
- Investment in institutional capacity and information access yields sustained impact
- Cost-effective communication tools can significantly expand outreach
- Strong coordination mechanisms are essential for efficient resource utilization
- Results-based budgeting enhances accountability and performance alignment

6.9 Conclusion

Overall, the PACED project demonstrates strong financial performance and value-for-money outcomes. The program effectively utilized limited resources to achieve significant progress in strengthening governance capacity, improving community awareness, and enhancing stakeholder engagement.

While certain constraints affected implementation timelines and cost-efficiency in specific areas, the overall financial model is sound, scalable, and aligned with best practices in governance programming.

The project provides a compelling case for investing in community-centered, systems-oriented approaches that combine capacity building, information access, and stakeholder coordination to achieve sustainable governance outcomes in resource-constrained settings.

7. KEY ACHIEVEMENTS

The implementation of the PACED project generated significant achievements across multiple dimensions of mineral resource governance. These results reflect both direct programmatic outputs and broader systems-level transformations, particularly in strengthening community institutions, enhancing participation, and improving governance inclusiveness within a complex pastoral and extractive context.

7.1 Strengthened Governance Capacity of Community Land Institutions

A central achievement of the program was the strengthening of the governance and operational capacity of Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and the Community Development Trust (CDT). Through targeted training, mentorship, and technical engagement, these institutions demonstrated improved functionality, legitimacy, and ability to engage with formal governance systems.

Key Results

- Enhanced understanding of land governance frameworks, legal processes, and institutional roles
- Improved organizational structures, leadership coordination, and internal accountability mechanisms
- Strengthened engagement with the Ministry of Lands, Registrar of Titles, and district land authorities

Strategic Significance

This achievement represents a foundational shift from informal, capacity-constrained community structures to more organized and functional governance entities. Strengthened institutions are better positioned to manage communal land, mediate disputes, and participate effectively in mineral governance processes, thereby enhancing long-term community resilience and governance equity.

7.2 Improved Community Participation in Land Governance Processes

The program successfully facilitated increased community involvement in land governance processes, particularly in relation to land demarcation, documentation, and dispute resolution.

Key Results

- Active participation of community members in sensitization and preparatory activities for land demarcation
- Increased community awareness of the importance of land titling and formal documentation
- Strengthened community engagement in discussions related to land use and governance

Strategic Significance

This shift toward participatory land governance contributes to improved transparency, reduced risk of land disputes, and enhanced community ownership of governance processes. It also strengthens the interface between customary and statutory land systems.

7.3 Strengthened Advocacy and Representation Capacity

The project significantly enhanced the ability of pastoral community leaders and institutions to advocate for their rights and interests within mineral resource governance processes.

Key Results

- Development of structured advocacy strategies for CLAs and CDT
- Improved communication, negotiation, and representation skills among community leaders
- Increased confidence and capacity to engage in governance discussions and dialogue platforms

Strategic Significance

Strengthened advocacy capacity has shifted community engagement from passive participation to more active and strategic representation. This enhances the ability of communities to influence decisions, demand accountability, and negotiate more equitable outcomes in resource governance.

7.4 Expanded Access to Governance Information Through Innovative Approaches

The program successfully addressed critical information gaps through the deployment of innovative, context-appropriate communication tools.

Key Results

- Development and distribution of Talking Books with localized, audio-based governance content
- Delivery of targeted radio talk shows covering land rights, mineral governance, and economic opportunities
- Increased reach of governance information to remote and low-literacy populations

Strategic Significance

These interventions significantly reduced barriers to information access, particularly for marginalized and low-literacy groups. By democratizing access to knowledge, the program enhanced community capacity to engage in governance processes and make informed decisions.

7.5 Increased Community Awareness and Legal Literacy

Sustained awareness and sensitization efforts resulted in measurable improvements in community understanding of governance frameworks and rights.

Key Results

- Increased awareness of land rights, legal frameworks, and governance processes
- Improved understanding of dispute resolution mechanisms and mediation approaches
- Greater recognition of economic opportunities within the extractive sector

Strategic Significance

Improved legal literacy is a critical enabler of participation and empowerment. This achievement contributes to building a more informed and engaged citizenry capable of navigating complex governance systems and protecting community interests.

7.6 Strengthened Multi-Stakeholder Engagement and Dialogue

The program facilitated improved engagement and collaboration between community institutions, government actors, and other stakeholders.

Key Results

- Conduct of structured meetings between CLAs/CDT and government authorities

- Engagement of district leadership and technical officials in governance discussions
- Use of media platforms to stimulate broader public dialogue

Strategic Significance

Enhanced stakeholder engagement contributed to improved transparency, trust, and accountability within governance processes. It also strengthened institutional linkages and created a foundation for more collaborative and inclusive decision-making.

7.7 Integration of Innovative Communication and Outreach Models

The adoption of technology and media-based approaches represented a key innovation in program implementation.

Key Results

- Successful integration of Talking Books technology into community information systems
- Effective use of community radio as a platform for awareness and dialogue

Strategic Significance

These models demonstrated scalable, cost-effective approaches to community engagement and information dissemination, with strong potential for replication in similar contexts.

7.8 Strengthened Foundations for Land Tenure Security

Although full land demarcation processes were ongoing, the program laid critical groundwork for strengthening land tenure security.

Key Results

- Increased community understanding of land demarcation and titling processes
- Initiation of processes toward formal land documentation
- Strengthened collaboration with land authorities

Strategic Significance

This achievement represents an important step toward securing communal land rights,

reducing vulnerability to land conflicts, and enhancing long-term livelihood security for pastoral communities.

7.9 Establishment of Functional Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning Systems

The program developed and operationalized monitoring systems to track performance and support adaptive implementation.

Key Results

- Implementation of indicator tracking frameworks and reporting systems
- Conduct of monitoring visits and review meetings
- Integration of partner feedback into program adjustments

Strategic Significance

These systems enhanced program accountability, supported evidence-based decision-making, and contributed to continuous learning and improvement.

7.10 Demonstration of a Scalable Model for Inclusive Governance

Overall, the PACED project demonstrated a viable and replicable model for strengthening community participation in mineral resource governance.

Key Results

- Successful implementation of integrated interventions across governance, advocacy, and awareness
- Strong alignment with national priorities on land rights and resource governance
- Evidence of improved community engagement and institutional functionality

Strategic Significance

The program provides a scalable, policy-relevant model that can inform future interventions in Karamoja and other resource-rich, pastoral, and marginalized contexts.

Summary of Achievements

Collectively, the PACED project achieved the following transformative outcomes:

- Strengthened governance capacity and institutional functionality of community land structures
- Enhanced advocacy and representation of pastoral communities
- Expanded access to governance information and improved legal literacy
- Strengthened stakeholder engagement and governance coordination
- Established a foundation for improved land tenure security and inclusive governance

These achievements underscore the effectiveness of integrated, community-centered approaches in addressing structural governance challenges and advancing equitable participation in mineral resource governance.

8. KEY CHALLENGES

While the PACED project achieved significant progress across its core result areas, implementation was affected by a range of structural, institutional, and operational challenges. These constraints reflect the broader complexities of governance programming in pastoral, resource-rich, and institutionally dynamic contexts such as Karamoja.

Understanding these challenges is critical not only for interpreting program performance but also for informing future program design, scaling strategies, and policy engagement.

8.1 Institutional Coordination Constraints with Government Agencies

A major challenge encountered during implementation was limited alignment and coordination with key government Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs), particularly those responsible for land administration and mineral governance.

Key Issues

- Divergent planning cycles between the project and government institutions
- Delays in scheduling joint activities such as land demarcation and technical consultations
- Limited predictability in availability of technical personnel from government agencies

Implications

- Slower implementation of critical activities, particularly those requiring government leadership or approval
- Disruptions to planned timelines and sequencing of activities
- Reduced efficiency in resource utilization due to rescheduling and delays

Analytical Insight

These coordination challenges highlight systemic gaps in inter-institutional collaboration and underscore the importance of aligning program implementation with government planning frameworks and institutional processes.

8.2 Delays in Land Demarcation and Technical Processes

Land demarcation—an essential component for strengthening land tenure security—was significantly affected by technical and procedural delays.

Key Issues

- Dependence on government technical processes and approvals
- Complexity of land administration procedures under both customary and statutory systems
- Limited availability of technical personnel and resources

Implications

- Delayed realization of key outputs related to land governance
- Slower progress toward strengthening tenure security
- Reduced ability to demonstrate tangible outcomes within the project timeframe

Analytical Insight

The challenge reflects structural dependencies inherent in governance interventions, where program outcomes rely on broader institutional systems beyond direct project control. It underscores the need for long-term engagement and sustained partnerships in land governance programming.

8.3 Geographic and Logistical Constraints

The dispersed nature of pastoral communities in Moroto District, combined with limited infrastructure, posed significant logistical challenges for program implementation.

Key Issues

- Long distances between communities and administrative centers
- Limited transport infrastructure and mobility for field teams
- High operational costs associated with outreach and follow-up activities

Implications

- Reduced frequency and coverage of field activities
- Increased time and cost requirements for implementation
- Constraints in supervision, monitoring, and stakeholder engagement

Analytical Insight

Geographical constraints are a defining feature of pastoral contexts and require tailored operational strategies, including decentralized delivery models and investment in field-level logistics.

8.4 Institutional Capacity Gaps Among Community Structures

Although the program made significant progress in strengthening capacity, baseline institutional weaknesses among CLAs and CDT affected the pace and consistency of implementation.

Key Issues

- Limited prior exposure to formal governance and legal frameworks
- Variability in leadership capacity and organizational structures
- Need for continuous mentorship and technical support

Implications

- Uneven performance across different community institutions
- Slower uptake of governance practices and systems
- Increased demand for ongoing capacity-building interventions

Analytical Insight

Institutional transformation is inherently gradual, particularly in contexts where governance structures are transitioning from informal to formal systems. Sustained investment in capacity development is essential for long-term impact.

8.5 Information and Communication Barriers

Despite improvements in information access, initial gaps in communication and information dissemination posed challenges to community engagement.

Key Issues

- Low baseline levels of legal literacy and governance awareness
- Language barriers and limited access to written materials
- Dependence on limited communication channels in remote areas

Implications

- Initial resistance or limited participation in governance processes
- Misunderstanding of land rights and governance procedures
- Need for intensive sensitization and repeated engagement

Analytical Insight

Information asymmetry remains a key barrier to inclusive governance. Addressing this requires sustained, multi-channel communication strategies tailored to local contexts.

8.6 Data and Monitoring System Limitations

Challenges in data collection, reporting, and performance tracking affected the robustness of monitoring and evaluation systems.

Key Issues

- Incomplete or inconsistent data across some indicators
- Delays in data consolidation and reporting
- Limited capacity in data management at field level

Implications

- Constraints in measuring progress against targets
- Reduced ability to generate real-time insights for adaptive management

- Gaps in evidence for program learning and reporting

Analytical Insight

Strengthening monitoring systems and data capacity is critical for improving accountability, performance management, and evidence-based decision-making.

8.7 Dependency on External Stakeholders and Processes

The program's reliance on external actors—particularly government institutions and technical agencies—introduced implementation risks.

Key Issues

- Limited control over timelines and decision-making processes
- Variability in stakeholder commitment and engagement
- Competing priorities among partners

Implications

- Delays in key activities and outputs
- Reduced flexibility in implementation planning
- Increased uncertainty in achieving certain results

Analytical Insight

Governance programs inherently operate within multi-actor systems. Managing stakeholder dependencies requires strong partnership frameworks, clear roles, and continuous engagement.

8.8 Socio-Cultural and Behavioral Barriers

Underlying socio-cultural dynamics also influenced program implementation and community engagement.

Key Issues

- Traditional norms affecting participation in formal governance processes
- Resistance to changes in land management practices
- Limited inclusion of certain groups (e.g., women and youth) in decision-making

Implications

- Gradual pace of behavioral and institutional change
- Need for sustained engagement and trust-building
- Variability in participation across different community groups

Analytical Insight

Behavioral change in governance contexts requires long-term, participatory approaches that address both cultural norms and power dynamics.

8.9 Summary of Key Constraints

The challenges encountered can be broadly categorized into:

- **Structural constraints:** institutional coordination, land governance systems, and geographic barriers
- **Operational constraints:** logistics, resource limitations, and implementation dependencies
- **Programmatic constraints:** capacity gaps, data limitations, and communication barriers
- **Socio-cultural constraints:** norms, participation dynamics, and behavioral factors

Conclusion

While these challenges affected the pace and efficiency of implementation, they are reflective of the broader systemic complexities associated with governance programming in pastoral and resource-constrained environments. Importantly, the program demonstrated resilience and adaptability in navigating these constraints.

Addressing these challenges through strengthened coordination, sustained capacity building, improved data systems, and adaptive implementation strategies will be critical for enhancing future program effectiveness, scalability, and long-term impact.

9. LESSONS LEARNED

The implementation of the PACED project generated a rich body of operational, institutional, and strategic learning. These lessons reflect both the strengths of the program design and the realities of implementing governance interventions in pastoral, resource-constrained, and institutionally complex environments.

The insights presented below are intended to inform future programming, policy engagement, and scaling of inclusive governance models within Uganda and similar contexts.

9.1 Community Institutions Are Foundational to Inclusive Governance

A key lesson from the project is that community governance structures—particularly Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and Community Development Trusts (CDT)—are critical entry points for advancing inclusive mineral resource governance.

Key Insights

- Strengthened community institutions significantly improve participation and representation outcomes
- Institutional legitimacy enhances community trust and engagement
- Functional governance structures enable sustained interaction with formal systems

Strategic Implication

Investing in institutional capacity at the community level is essential for achieving long-term governance transformation. Programs should prioritize strengthening local governance systems as a foundational component of intervention design.

9.2 Advocacy Capacity Is a Critical Driver of Community Agency

The project demonstrated that targeted investment in advocacy skills substantially enhances the ability of community leaders to influence governance processes.

Key Insights

- Advocacy training improves communication, negotiation, and engagement outcomes
- Structured advocacy strategies increase effectiveness of community representation

- Empowered leaders are more proactive in engaging with governance actors

Strategic Implication

Advocacy capacity building should be embedded as a core program component, not treated as a complementary activity. Sustained support is required to translate skills into long-term influence.

9.3 Access to Information Is Central to Empowerment and Participation

The program highlighted the transformative role of accessible, context-appropriate information in enabling community participation.

Key Insights

- Information gaps are a primary barrier to engagement in governance processes
- Audio-based and media platforms are highly effective in low-literacy contexts
- Repeated and multi-channel communication enhances knowledge retention

Strategic Implication

Governance interventions must prioritize inclusive information dissemination strategies that are tailored to local contexts, languages, and literacy levels. Information access should be treated as a core pillar of empowerment.

9.4 Integrated Approaches Yield Greater Impact Than Isolated Interventions

The PACED project demonstrated that combining institutional strengthening, advocacy, information access, and stakeholder engagement produces more sustainable and impactful outcomes than standalone interventions.

Key Insights

- Synergies between capacity building and information access enhance effectiveness
- Advocacy outcomes are strengthened when supported by institutional capacity and knowledge
- Multi-dimensional approaches address both structural and operational constraints

Strategic Implication

Future programs should adopt integrated, systems-oriented approaches that simultaneously address multiple dimensions of governance challenges.

9.5 Multi-Stakeholder Engagement Is Essential but Requires Structured Facilitation

The project underscored the importance of creating platforms for engagement between communities, government, and private sector actors.

Key Insights

- Dialogue platforms improve transparency, accountability, and mutual understanding
- Engagement is more effective when structured and facilitated
- Participation of government actors is critical for legitimacy and impact

Strategic Implication

Programs should invest in institutionalizing regular, structured dialogue mechanisms and strengthening facilitation capacities to sustain stakeholder engagement.

9.6 Government Coordination Is a Determinant of Program Effectiveness

The program highlighted that effective coordination with government institutions is both a critical enabler and a potential constraint.

Key Insights

- Alignment with government processes enhances implementation efficiency
- Delays in coordination can significantly affect timelines and outputs
- Early and continuous engagement with government actors is essential

Strategic Implication

Future interventions should prioritize formal coordination frameworks, joint planning mechanisms, and alignment with government systems to enhance efficiency and sustainability.

9.7 Land Governance Processes Require Long-Term Engagement

The experience of land demarcation and tenure processes revealed that governance interventions in land administration are inherently complex and time-intensive.

Key Insights

- Land governance processes involve multiple stakeholders and legal procedures
- Short project cycles may not align with institutional timelines
- Sustained engagement is required to achieve tangible outcomes

Strategic Implication

Programs addressing land governance should adopt longer-term perspectives, with phased implementation and sustained partnerships to achieve meaningful results.

9.8 Adaptive and Flexible Programming Enhances Resilience

The program demonstrated the importance of flexibility in responding to changing contexts and implementation challenges.

Key Insights

- Adaptive planning allows programs to respond to delays and emerging risks
- Continuous learning improves implementation effectiveness
- Flexibility enhances program resilience in dynamic environments

Strategic Implication

Adaptive management should be embedded within program design, supported by robust monitoring systems and feedback mechanisms.

9.9 Data Systems Are Critical for Accountability and Learning

The program highlighted the importance of strong monitoring and data systems in tracking progress and informing decision-making.

Key Insights

- Reliable data enables evidence-based program adjustments
- Weak data systems limit performance assessment and reporting

- Capacity gaps in data management affect data quality

Strategic Implication

Investments in data systems, digital tools, and capacity building are essential for strengthening accountability, learning, and program effectiveness.

9.10 Context-Specific Communication Approaches Enhance Inclusiveness

The success of Talking Books and radio programming demonstrated the importance of tailoring communication strategies to local contexts.

Key Insights

- Context-appropriate tools significantly increase outreach and engagement
- Inclusive communication approaches reduce barriers to participation
- Technology can be leveraged effectively even in low-resource settings

Strategic Implication

Programs should adopt innovative, locally adapted communication strategies to ensure inclusiveness and maximize impact.

9.11 Behavioral and Cultural Change Requires Sustained Engagement

The program revealed that changes in governance participation and institutional practices are gradual and influenced by socio-cultural dynamics.

Key Insights

- Behavioral change requires trust-building and sustained interaction
- Cultural norms influence participation and decision-making processes
- Inclusive engagement strategies are needed to reach marginalized groups

Strategic Implication

Programs should incorporate long-term engagement strategies that address socio-cultural dynamics and promote inclusive participation.

Summary of Lessons Learned

The PACED project reinforces several overarching lessons for governance programming:

- Community institutions are central to sustainable governance outcomes
- Advocacy and information access are key drivers of empowerment
- Integrated, systems-based approaches are more effective than isolated interventions
- Strong coordination and partnerships are essential for success
- Adaptive programming and robust data systems enhance resilience and impact

Conclusion

The lessons generated by the PACED project provide a strong evidence base for designing and implementing inclusive governance interventions in pastoral and resource-rich contexts. They highlight the importance of combining community empowerment with systems strengthening, while also addressing structural, institutional, and socio-cultural constraints.

These insights offer practical guidance for scaling impact, improving program effectiveness, and informing policy and investment decisions in mineral resource governance and related sectors.

10. STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Building on the program's performance, achievements, challenges, and lessons learned, the following strategic recommendations are proposed to strengthen future interventions in mineral resource governance and enhance the participation of pastoral communities. These recommendations are designed to address systemic constraints, consolidate gains, and support the scalability and sustainability of inclusive governance models.

10.1 Strengthen Institutional Capacity Through Long-Term Support Models

Future interventions should move beyond short-term training toward sustained institutional development of Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and Community Development Trusts (CDT).

Recommended Actions

- Establish continuous mentorship and coaching frameworks for community institutions
- Provide technical support on governance, financial management, and land administration
- Strengthen internal accountability and leadership systems

Strategic Rationale

Institutional capacity development is a long-term process. Sustained investment will ensure that community institutions evolve into stable, self-sufficient governance actors capable of managing land and engaging effectively in mineral governance processes.

10.2 Institutionalize Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue Platforms

There is a need to formalize and sustain platforms for engagement between communities, government institutions, private sector actors, and civil society.

Recommended Actions

- Establish regular district-level dialogue forums on mineral governance
- Integrate community representatives into existing government consultation mechanisms

- Develop clear frameworks for stakeholder engagement and coordination

Strategic Rationale

Institutionalized dialogue mechanisms will enhance transparency, improve coordination, and ensure that community perspectives are systematically integrated into decision-making processes.

10.3 Strengthen Coordination Mechanisms with Government Institutions

Improved alignment with government systems is essential for effective and timely implementation.

Recommended Actions

- Develop formal coordination agreements with key Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs)
- Align program timelines with government planning cycles
- Strengthen joint planning and implementation frameworks

Strategic Rationale

Enhanced coordination will reduce delays, improve efficiency, and ensure sustainability by embedding interventions within government systems.

10.4 Scale and Institutionalize Innovative Information Dissemination Approaches

The success of Talking Books and community radio highlights the need to expand and institutionalize these approaches.

Recommended Actions

- Scale distribution of Talking Books and expand content coverage
- Partner with local radio stations for sustained governance programming
- Integrate digital and mobile-based information platforms

Strategic Rationale

Accessible information is a key driver of participation and empowerment. Scaling these approaches will significantly enhance outreach and inclusiveness.

10.5 Strengthen Monitoring, Evaluation, and Data Systems

Robust data systems are essential for performance tracking, accountability, and learning.

Recommended Actions

- Invest in digital data collection and management tools
- Build capacity of field teams in data collection and analysis
- Strengthen indicator tracking and reporting frameworks

Strategic Rationale

Improved data systems will enhance evidence-based decision-making, support adaptive programming, and strengthen accountability to stakeholders.

10.6 Increase Investment in Land Governance and Tenure Security Processes

Land governance remains a critical area requiring sustained and targeted investment.

Recommended Actions

- Support land demarcation and registration processes
- Strengthen collaboration with land administration authorities
- Provide legal and technical support to community institutions

Strategic Rationale

Securing land tenure is fundamental to protecting community rights, reducing conflict, and enabling equitable participation in the extractive sector.

10.7 Expand Advocacy Capacity Building and Policy Engagement

Advocacy should be strengthened as a core component of governance programming.

Recommended Actions

- Provide advanced advocacy and negotiation training
- Support participation of community leaders in national policy platforms
- Facilitate linkages between community institutions and civil society networks

Strategic Rationale

Enhanced advocacy capacity will enable communities to influence policy processes and achieve more equitable governance outcomes.

10.8 Adopt Integrated and Systems-Oriented Programming Approaches

Future interventions should continue to adopt integrated models that address multiple dimensions of governance.

Recommended Actions

- Combine institutional strengthening, advocacy, information access, and dialogue
- Align interventions with broader governance and development frameworks
- Promote cross-sectoral collaboration

Strategic Rationale

Integrated approaches are more effective in addressing complex governance challenges and achieving sustainable impact.

10.9 Enhance Operational Capacity and Decentralized Implementation

Operational constraints in pastoral contexts require tailored implementation strategies.

Recommended Actions

- Strengthen field-level presence and decentralized implementation structures
- Invest in logistics and mobility for outreach activities
- Utilize community-based facilitators to extend reach

Strategic Rationale

Improved operational capacity will enhance efficiency, expand coverage, and ensure consistent engagement with target communities.

10.10 Promote Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI)

Future programming should further strengthen inclusive participation across all community groups.

Recommended Actions

- Ensure targeted inclusion of women, youth, and marginalized groups
- Adapt communication and engagement strategies to diverse needs
- Monitor and report on inclusion outcomes

Strategic Rationale

Inclusive governance requires deliberate efforts to address structural inequalities and ensure equitable participation.

10.11 Strengthen Partnerships and Knowledge Collaboration

Partnerships with academic institutions, civil society, and government actors should be further leveraged.

Recommended Actions

- Expand collaboration with research institutions such as KAPATU
- Strengthen networks with civil society organizations
- Promote knowledge sharing and learning platforms

Strategic Rationale

Strong partnerships enhance technical quality, expand reach, and support sustainability of interventions.

10.12 Adopt Long-Term and Scalable Programming Models

Short-term interventions should be complemented by longer-term strategies to achieve systemic change.

Recommended Actions

- Design multi-phase or multi-year programs
- Develop scaling strategies for successful models
- Integrate programs into broader regional and national frameworks

Strategic Rationale

Sustainable governance transformation requires long-term investment and strategic scaling of effective interventions.

Strategic Prioritization Framework

To guide implementation, the recommendations can be prioritized as follows:

Immediate Priorities

- Strengthen coordination with government institutions
- Scale information dissemination tools
- Enhance monitoring and data systems

Medium-Term Priorities

- Institutionalize dialogue platforms
- Expand advocacy capacity
- Strengthen operational systems

Long-Term Priorities

- Invest in land governance and tenure security
- Build sustainable community institutions
- Scale integrated governance models

Conclusion

The recommendations outlined above provide a comprehensive roadmap for strengthening inclusive mineral resource governance and enhancing pastoral community participation. They emphasize the need for sustained investment in institutional capacity, improved coordination, scalable communication approaches, and integrated programming.

By addressing both structural and operational constraints, these recommendations position future interventions to achieve greater efficiency, impact, and sustainability, while contributing to broader policy and governance reforms in Uganda's extractive sector.

11. CONCLUSION

The PACED project provides compelling evidence that inclusive, community-centered approaches can significantly strengthen governance outcomes in resource-rich but historically marginalized regions. Implemented in the complex socio-economic and institutional landscape of Karamoja, the program successfully addressed critical gaps in representation, capacity, and access to information that have long constrained pastoral communities' participation in mineral resource governance.

At its core, the project demonstrates that sustainable governance reform is not solely a function of policy frameworks, but of the capacity, agency, and inclusion of local actors within those systems. By strengthening Communal Land Associations (CLAs) and the Community Development Trust (CDT), enhancing advocacy capacity, and expanding access to governance information, the program contributed to a measurable shift in how pastoral communities engage with land administration and extractive sector governance.

Importantly, the project illustrates that empowering communities to participate meaningfully in governance processes can improve transparency, strengthen accountability, and reduce the risk of conflict associated with natural resource extraction. The integration of innovative communication tools, such as Talking Books and community radio, further underscores the value of context-responsive approaches in overcoming structural barriers to information access and participation.

However, the findings also highlight that community empowerment alone is insufficient without parallel strengthening of institutional coordination, policy alignment, and governance systems. Persistent challenges related to government coordination, land administration processes, and implementation dependencies point to the need for more integrated, long-term, and system-wide approaches to governance reform.

From a policy perspective, the PACED project reinforces several critical priorities:

- The need to institutionalize participatory governance mechanisms that formally integrate community institutions into mineral resource decision-making processes
- The importance of investing in community-level governance capacity as a cornerstone of equitable and sustainable development

- The value of accessible and inclusive information systems in enabling informed participation and protecting community rights
- The necessity of strengthening coordination across government, community, and private sector actors to ensure coherent and accountable governance

The project also offers a scalable and replicable model for advancing inclusive governance in Uganda's extractive sector and other resource-dependent regions. Its integrated approach—combining institutional strengthening, advocacy, information access, and stakeholder engagement—provides a practical framework for addressing the multi-dimensional challenges of natural resource governance.

Looking forward, sustaining and scaling the gains achieved through the PACED project will require long-term investment, policy commitment, and strengthened partnerships. Embedding these approaches within national and district-level governance systems will be critical for ensuring that pastoral communities are not only beneficiaries of development, but active participants and decision-makers in shaping their futures.

In conclusion, the PACED project demonstrates that inclusive governance is both achievable and essential. By placing communities at the center of resource governance systems and strengthening the institutions that represent them, it is possible to advance more equitable, transparent, and sustainable management of natural resources—ultimately contributing to broader goals of social justice, economic inclusion, and resilient development.